

pdp

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY
CIVIL APPELLATE JURISDICTION

PUBLIC INTEREST LITIGATION NO. 141 OF 2018

Sukhminder Singh Hundal

..Petitioner

Vs.

The State of Maharashtra and ors.

..Respondents

Mr. J. B. Mishra for petitioner.

Mr. M. M. Pabale, AGP for State.

Mr. Kamlesh Ghumre a/w Ms. Sonali Jadhav for respondent no.4.

CORAM: PRADEEP NANDRAJOG, CJ. &
N. M. JAMDAR, J.

APRIL 18, 2019.

P.C.

1. The Public Interest Petition pleads that respondent no.4 is the developer who has rights to develop. There are no pleadings as to under what instrument respondent no.4 has to develop.

2. It is pleaded that under the Urban Land (Ceiling and Regulation) Act, 1976, the Competent Authority passed orders with respect to "said plots". The said plots are ones referred to in the table at Sr. Nos. 1 to 13 in paragraph 5 of the Petition.

2. The corresponding orders referred to have been annexed at Annexure "A-1" and none of them is in the name of the 4th respondent.

3. Relief claimed is against respondent No.4 and we find that the orders relied upon do not relate to respondent No.4.

4. The Public Interest Petition is dismissed.

N. M. JAMDAR, J.

CHIEF JUSTICE