

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY
CIVIL APPELLATE JURISDICTION**

WRIT PETITION NO.12288 OF 2018

Muslim Samaj Prabodhan Sanstha ... Petitioner

Vs.

Siddiqui Shabana Aazami ... Respondent

• • • • •

None for the petitioner.

Mr. Irvin D'souza I/b. Sugandh B. Deshmukh for the respondent.

• • • • •

CORAM : M. S. KARNIK, J.

DATE : 5th DECEMBER, 2019.

P. C.:

1. None for the petitioner. Heard learned counsel for the respondent.
2. Learned counsel for the respondent states that the respondent pursuant to the impugned order was reinstated. However, after sometime his services again came to be terminated.
3. In this view of the matter, as the petitioner was already reinstated, nothing remains for consideration in this petition.
4. Learned counsel for the respondent has stated that proceedings have been already filed against the subsequent termination. Those proceedings obviously will be decided on its own merits and in accordance with law.

5. The petition is disposed of as nothing survives for consideration with no order as to costs.

(M. S. KARNIK, J.)