

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY
CIVIL APPELLATE JURISDICTION
CONTEMPT PETITION NO.189 OF 2019
IN
FAMILY COURT APPEAL NO.165 OF 2017

Mr. Vinod V. Asgolkar.] ... Petitioner

Versus

Mrs. Vidya V. Asgolkar.] ... Respondent

Ms. Seema Sarnaik for Petitioner
Mr. Dushyant Purekar for Respondent.

CORAM :- AKIL KURESHI &
SARANG V. KOTWAL, JJ.
DATE :- 02 MAY, 2019

P. C. :-

1. This Contempt Petition is filed by the father of the child who is 14 years of age. According to the Consent Terms filed between the parties previously, 50% of the vacation period was to be spent by the son with the father. According to the Applicant – father, these terms have not been fulfilled and he was denied access for such period.

2. We have heard learned Counsel for both the parties.

3. Learned Counsel appearing for mother – Respondent submits that she is ready and willing to send the child to father's house for the remaining period of vacation i.e. from 05/05/2019 to 05/06/2019. This statement is accepted. The Respondent shall ensure that the child goes to the Applicant during this period.

4. In view of this statement, the Contempt Petition is disposed of.

(SARANG V. KOTWAL, J.)

(AKIL KURESHI, J.)