

R.M. AMBERKAR
(Private Secretary)

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY
CIVIL APPELLATE JURISDICTION

FAMILY COURT APPEAL NO. 213 OF 2018
WITH
CIVIL APPLICATION NO. 172 OF 2018

Reena Vijay Pawar

.. Appellant

Versus

Vijay Eknath Pawar

.. Respondent

-
- Dr. Prakash Deshmukh for the Appellant
 - Mr. Aditya Desai I/by Milind Godbole for the Respondent
-

CORAM : AKIL KURESHI &
SARANG V. KOTWAL, JJ.

DATE : MARCH 27, 2019.

P.C.:

1. This appeal is filed by the appellant – wife challenging the judgment and decree passed by the Family Court, Mumbai dated 21.3.2016 in Petition No. A-174 of 2014. During pendency of this FCA, the parties have entered into the consent terms. The consent terms are tendered today before the Court which are taken on record and marked “X” for identification.

2. As per the consent terms, the appellant wife is to get Rs. 3000/- per month mentioned in the first clause with an increase @ 10% every two years. It is also mentioned in the Consent Terms that in view of the settlement, the appellant wishes to withdraw this FCA. The consent terms are accepted by both the parties through their learned counsel. Accordingly, the FCA is allowed to be withdrawn and disposed of as such.

3. In view of disposal of FCA, nothing further survives in pending Civil Application. The same is disposed of accordingly.

[SARANG V. KOTWAL, J.]

[AKIL KURESHI, J]