

Atul

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY

CIVIL APPELLATE JURISDICTION

CIVIL APPLICATION NO. 190 OF 2018

IN

REVIEW PETITION ST NO. 12379 OF 2018

IN

WRIT PETITION NO. 3767 OF 2010

WITH

CIVIL APPLICATION NO. 189 OF 2018

IN

REVIEW PETITION ST NO. 12379 OF 2018

IN

WRIT PETITION NO. 3767 OF 2010

State of Maharashtra & Ors

...Petitioners

Versus

Babusha Dhondiba Hole

...Respondent

AND

CIVIL APPLICATION NO. 185 OF 2018

IN

REVIEW PETITION ST NO. 13599 OF 2018

IN

WRIT PETITION NO. 3768 OF 2010

AND

CIVIL APPLICATION NO. 186 OF 2018

IN

REVIEW PETITION ST NO. 13599 OF 2018

IN

WRIT PETITION NO. 3768 OF 2010

State of Maharashtra & Ors

...Petitioners

Versus

Govind Baban Deshmukh & Anr

...Respondents

AND

CIVIL APPLICATION NO. 187 OF 2018

IN

REVIEW PETITION ST NO. 13617 OF 2018

IN

WRIT PETITION NO. 3806 OF 2010

WITH

CIVIL APPLICATION NO. 188 OF 2018

IN

REVIEW PETITION ST NO. 13617 OF 2018

IN

WRIT PETITION NO. 3806 OF 2010

State of Maharashtra & Ors

...Petitioners

Versus

Radhu Dhondiba Hole

...Respondent

AND

CIVIL APPLICATION NO. 191 OF 2018
IN
REVIEW PETITION ST NO. 13624 OF 2018
IN
WRIT PETITION NO. 3912 OF 2010
WITH
CIVIL APPLICATION NO. 192 OF 2018
IN
REVIEW PETITION ST NO. 13624 OF 2018
IN
WRIT PETITION NO. 3912 OF 2010

State of Maharashtra & Ors ...Petitioners
Versus
Mahadu Shankar Deshmukh ...Respondent

Mr VS Gokhale, B Panel Counsel, for the Petitioner- State.
Ms Vrushali L Maindad, with Harshad Sathe, i/b Gaurav Potnis, for
the Respondents.

CORAM: S. C. DHARMADHIKARI &
G.S. PATEL, JJ
DATED: 28th June 2019

PC:-

1. Having heard Mr Gokhale at great length, we are not convinced in the least that there is sufficient cause to condone the delay of 6 years 7 months and 3 days in filing the Review Petitions.

2. That Government officials are frequently transferred and, therefore, it is difficult to locate the files or to take the decision as directed in the orders of this Court by itself is no ground.

3. We do not find any sufficient cause for condonation of this delay. The delay is entirely because of the working of the Government and that alone, a long time ago, been stated not to be a sufficient cause to enable the Court to condone the delay in matters where Government is a party. In fact the Court has been emphatic in saying that the Government is not a special litigant.

4. In these circumstances, we do not find any merit in these civil applications. All the civil applications are dismissed.

(S. C. DHARMADHIKARI, J)

(G. S. PATEL, J)