

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY
CIVIL APPELLATE JURISDICTION**

**PUBLIC INTEREST LITIGATION NO.183 OF 2016
WITH
CIVIL APPLICATION NO.137 OF 2016
WITH
CIVIL APPLICATION NO.49 OF 2019**

Sulekh Anees Don ..Petitioner/Applicant
Versus
State of Maharashtra and others ..Respondents

**WITH
CIVIL APPLICATION NO.114 OF 2017
IN
PUBLIC INTEREST LITIGATION NO.183 OF 2016**

Maharashtra Rajya Mukhyadhikari Sanghatana ..Applicant

IN THE MATTER BETWEEN

Sulekh Anees Don ..Petitioner
Versus
State of Maharashtra and others ..Respondents

Ms. Ujjwala Agrawal a/w Ms. Jyoti S. Agrawal I/by Mr. Chetan Agrawal, Advocates for the Petitioner & Applicant in CAI No.137/2016 & CAI No.49/2019.

Mr. K. S. Thorat, AGP for Respondent No.1.

Mr. A. Y. Sakhare, Senior Advocate a/w Mr. Vinod Mahadik, Advocate for MCGM.

Mr. N. R. Bubna a/w Mr. F. A. Wasif, Advocates for Applicant in CAI No.114/2017.

Mr. A. S. Rao, Advocate for Respondent No.2.

Ms. Nazia S. A. Shaikh I/by Mr. P. J. Thorat, Advocate for Respondent No.4.

**CORAM : PRADEEP NANDRAJOG, C.J. &
NITIN JAMDAR, J.**

DATE : 7th AUGUST, 2019

P.C.

1] The Petition is loosely drafted. The exact grievance is not emerging. Counsel seeks leave to withdraw the Public Interest Petition with liberty to file a fresh Petition but after making proper pleadings. Granting liberty as prayed for, the Petition is dismissed as not pressed. Office to return the deposit made as per rules.

2] Needless to state all pending Civil Applications are disposed of as infructuous.

NITIN JAMDAR, J

CHIEF JUSTICE