

DDR

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY
CIVIL APPELLATE JURISDICTION**

SECOND APPEAL NO. 849 OF 2012

Navashya Atmaram Patkar

..Appellant
(Org. Plaintiff)

vs.

Sakharam Raghya Lilka & ors.

..Respondents.

....

Shri P.P. Chakurkar for appellant.

Shri P.B. Gole for respondent Nos.1 to 6.

....

CORAM : M.S.KARNIK, J.

DATE : 30th JULY, 2019

P.C. :

Learned counsel for the parties have tendered consent terms which are taken on record and marked as “X” for identification. The consent terms has duly signed by the appellant and respondent Nos.1, 2, 3 and 6. The parties are present in the Court. The parties – signatories to the consent terms are identified by their respective counsel and they stated that they have signed the consent terms.

2. The counsel for the parties state that they have explained the various clauses in the consent terms in Marathi to the parties.

3. The appellant as well as respondent Nos. 1, 2, 3 and 6 are present in the Court, state that they have understood the clauses in the consent terms and they will abide by the same.

4. The Second Appeal is disposed of in terms of the consent terms.

(M.S.KARNIK, J.)