

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY
CIVIL APPELLATE JURISDICTION
WRIT PETITION NO. 2453 OF 2019

Bhausahab V. Patil

... Petitioner.

V/s.

The Divisional Commissioner

Revenue, Pune Division, Pune and ors.

... Respondents.

Mr. Prasanna Shahane I/b Mr. Milind Deshmukh for the
Petitioner.

Mr. A.P. Vanarse, AGP for Respondent Nos.1 to 5.

**CORAM : R. M. BORDE AND
PUSHPA V. GANEDIWALA, JJ.**

DATE : 26th FEBRUARY 2019.

P.C.:

1] In exercise of extraordinary jurisdiction under Article 226 of the Constitution of India, the representation made by the petitioner need not be directed to be considered by the District Rehabilitation Officer. It would be open for the petitioner to make a suitable representation requesting for their own resettlement and for grant of benefits under the Act and if such representation is made, the Collector shall consider the same in accordance with law.

2] With directions as above, Writ Petition is disposed of.

(PUSHPA V. GANEDIWALA, J.)

(R. M. BORDE, J.)