

jsn

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY
CIVIL APPELLATE JURISDICTION

PUBLIC INTEREST LITIGATION NO.94 OF 2013

Mr. Macchindra Appasaheb Patil ... Petitioner
Versus
The Union of India & Ors. ... Respondents

Mr. M.A. Patil for the Petitioner
Mr. Y.R. Mishra with Mr. N.R. Prajapati for Respondent No.1.
Mr. B.V. Samant, AGP for State – Respondent Nos.2 to 5.
Mr. V.D. Patil, for Respondent No.6.

CORAM: S.C. DHARMADHIKARI &
R. I. CHAGLA, JJ.
DATED: 5TH NOVEMBER 2019

PC:-

1. After having heard the parties at some length and perusing the PIL, its annexures as also Affidavits in Reply, we are of the opinion that this PIL has served its purpose.
2. Undisputedly, the concerned area in relation to which this PIL has been filed has been the beneficiary of a project. The appropriate sanction has been granted. The budgetary allocation is made and the funds are also expended. All this has resulted in the work in Sangola, Taluka being completed. Therefore, the project as envisaged (Tembhu) lift irrigation project in so far as Taluka

Sangola, District Solapur is now complete.

3. In the circumstances, the PIL is disposed of.

(R. I. CHAGLA, J.)

(S.C. DHARMADHIKARI, J.)