

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY
CIVIL APPELLATE JURISDICTION

WRIT PETITION NO.8952 OF 2019

Ajay Chandrakumar Doshi and Anr. ...Petitioners
vs.
The State of Maharashtra and Ors. ...Respondents

Mr. Kunal Katariya i/b. F.K. Khan, for the Petitioners
Mr. Prayag Joshi i/b. Mr. Bipin Joshi, for Respondent No. 4.
Mr. A.I. Patel, AGP for Respondent Nos. 1 to 3.

CORAM : N.B. SURYAWANSHI, J.
DATE : OCTOBER 25, 2019
(VACATION COURT)

P.C.:

. Heard learned counsel for the Petitioners and the
contesting Respondent.

2. The Petitioner No. 1 as well as Respondent No. 4 are
personally present in the Court, they are identified by their
respective counsels. The learned counsel for Respondent No. 4
files vakalatnama and confirms that the parties have settled the
dispute and have filed consent terms. The affidavit (page 86 of
the paper book) is filed by the Petitioners along with the
consent terms (Exhibit A) at page 88 and the affidavit (Exhibit
B) of the contesting Respondent No. 4 is at page 113.

3. The Writ Petition is disposed of in terms of the compromise/consent terms (Exhibit A) and the affidavits filed by the Petitioners and Respondent.

4. Parties to act on the authenticated copy of this order.

(N.B. SURYAWANSHI, J.)