

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY

CRIMINAL APPELLATE JURISDICTION

WRIT PETITION NO. 1615 OF 2016

Mohit Jawaharlal Bhatia ... Petitioner

Versus

State of Maharashtra and Anr. ... Respondents

Adv Ashwin Rana for the Petitioner.

Adv Pragya Chandra h/f Mr.Charanjeet Chanderpal for respondent no. 2.

Mr. K.V. Saste, APP for the respondent State.

**CORAM : B.P. DHARMADHIKARI &
N.M. JAMDAR, JJ.**

DATE : FEBRUARY 11, 2019

P.C.:

Heard learned counsel for the petitioner.

2. It is submitted that perusal of the statement given by the complainant on 28/11/2014 itself shows that the relationship was consensus. Our attention is also invited to a document dated 01/12/2014 allegedly lodged by the respondent to Investigating Officer to show that in that document it is mentioned that in rage of anger she has lodged the complaint against the petitioner.

3. The cognizance of the FIR was already taken and

chargesheet also filed. Today the trial court is at the stage of framing of charge.

4. We do not see any extra ordinary situation which necessitates exercise of jurisdiction under section 482 of Cr.P.C. by this court. Hence, keeping all the contentions open, we dispose of the present matter. There shall be no order as to costs.

(N.M. JAMDAR, J.)

(B.P. DHARMADHIKARI, J.)