

nsc.

***IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY
CRIMINAL APPELLATE JURISDICTION***

CRIMINAL APPLICATION NO.493 OF 2018

Santosh Kumar Bikram and Anr. ...Applicants
Versus
The State of Maharashtra and Anr. ...Respondents

Mr.Prasanna Bhangale i/b Mr.V.R.Sutaria, for the Applicants

Mr. Deepak Thakare, P.P. a/w Mr.A.D.Kamkhedkar, A.P.P. for the
Respondent No.1 – State.

Mr.O.P.Mulkekar, for the Respondent No.2

PSI – Vijay Rane, N.M.Joshi Marg Police Station, Mumbai.

***CORAM : B.P. DHARMADHIKARI &
REVATI MOHITE DERE, JJ.***

DATE : 28th JANUARY, 2019

P.C. :

1. Heard respective counsel.
2. The Applicants are present with their Advocate. Respondent No.2 – Complainant is present with his Advocate. They have amicably settled the dispute.

3. The FIR is under Sections 408, 420 and 34 of the Indian Penal Code r/w Section 43(A) and 66 of the Information Technology Act, 2008.

4. Respondent No.2 has accordingly tendered an affidavit giving his no objection.

5. After hearing respective counsel, we find that dispute essentially is between employer and employee and out of contractual relations.

6. Accepting the joint request and subject to payment of costs of Rs.50,000/- jointly by the Applicants and Respondent No.2 to the J.J.Hospital (Gynecology Department), within three weeks from today.

7. If such costs is paid, relief in terms of prayer clause (a) shall become absolute.

REVATI MOHITE DERE, J.

B.P. DHARMADHIKARI, J.