

jsn

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY
CIVIL APPELLATE JURISDICTION**

PUBLIC INTEREST LITIGATION NO.100 OF 2010

Shri Prabhakar Vasudev Chudhary & Anr. ... Petitioners
Versus
Thane Municipal Corporation & Ors. ... Respondents

Mr. Sagar Joshi, for the Petitioners.
Mr. Ram Apte, Senior Counsel with Mr. N.R. Bubna for
Respondent No.1.

**CORAM: S.C. DHARMADHIKARI &
R. I. CHAGLA, JJ.**
DATED: 5TH NOVEMBER 2019

PC:-

1. This Public Interest Litigation was listed for directions.
2. By consent of both sides, we heard it.
3. The prayer in the PIL is to direct the Respondent No.1 to take appropriate action against development on a plot of land more particularly described in the prayer clause so that the development of the property is in accordance with law.
4. An Affidavit in Reply has been filed by the officer (Executive Engineer, Thane Municipal Corporation). On perusal of that Affidavit in Reply and particularly the unnumbered paragraph on page 26 and paragraph 3 on page 27, we are satisfied that necessary precautionary measures have been taken to ensure that the development conforms with law. In view thereof, the

Public Interest Litigation will not be kept pending. It is disposed of.

(R. I. CHAGLA, J.)

(S.C. DHARMADHIKARI, J.)