

Dond

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY
CRIMINAL APPELLATE JURISDICTION**

ANTICIPATORY BAIL APPLICATION NO. 838 OF 2019

Rajneesh Dharamveer Singh Dagar

..Applicant

Vs

The State of Maharashtra

..Respondents

Mr. Milind Deshmukh for applicant.

Mr. Amit Palkar, APP for State.

CORAM : A.S.GADKARI, J.

DATE : 5th April 2019.

P.C.:

1] The applicant is apprehending arrest in CR No.167 of 2017 dated 14.7.2017 registered with Mata Ramabai Ambedkar Marg Police Station, Mumbai under sections 465, 468, 471, 420, 198 of the Indian Penal Code.

2] Heard the learned counsel for the applicant and the learned APP for the State. Perused the record of investigation.

3] It is the prosecution case that, the applicant by submitting fake and/or bogus certificates allegedly issued by Shridhar University, Pilani, State of Rajasthan, procured job in the Customs Department. After

receiving the complaint, the Customs Department conducted enquiry and during the course of enquiry, it was revealed that, the said Shridhar University, Pilani, State of Rajasthan has neither issued any such certificate nor the applicant was the student of the said University.

4] The learned counsel for the applicant submitted that, the applicant is a meritorious student and was not aware as to how such certificate is bogus and/or fake certificate. The applicant by adopting due procedure got admission in the said University and after completion of the course, he received the said certificate from the said University. He therefore prayed that, the applicant may be protected by granting pre-arrest bail.

5] The record speaks contrary to what the learned counsel for the applicant contended. The record indicates that, the Shridhar University, Pillani, State of Rajasthan in its letter dated 19.4.2017 has stated that,

“Candidate is not a student of Shridhar University. Attached certificate is FAKE”. 19.4.2017”.

5] Undoubtedly, the allegation against the applicant is serious in nature and requires through interrogation by the police. I find no merits in the present application.

7] In view of the above and after taking into consideration the gravity of offence and serious allegations against the applicant, this Court is of the opinion that, the applicant does not deserve to be protected by pre-arrest bail.

8] Applications is accordingly rejected.

(A.S.GADKARI, J.)