

Vidya Amin.

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY
CRIMINAL APPELLATE JURISDICTION**

CRIMINAL WRIT PETITION NO. 1779 OF 2019

Sangeeta Rajat Narang ... Petitioner

VS.

The State of Maharashtra ... Respondent

WITH

CRIMINAL APPLICATION No. 216 OF 2019

IN

CRIMINAL WRIT PETITION NO. 1779 OF 2019

Nandlal Thawarmal Jangid ... Applicant

VS.

The State of Maharashtra ... Respondent

Mr. Ganesh Gole i/b. Mr. Shirodkar Ateet, Advocate for the petitioner.

Mr. Ashutosh R. Gole, Advocate for the applicant in Criminal Application No. 216 of 2019.

Mr. Vinod Chate, APP for the respondent/State.

CORAM: Mrs.MRIDULA BHATKAR, J.

DATED: 2nd May, 2019

P.C. :

The learned counsel Mr. Gole appearing for the petitioner submitted that the petitioner is ready to furnish surety of Rs.15,000/- but only seeks four weeks time to furnish the surety as her son's examination is going on and the petitioner is a resident of Delhi. He submitted that the trial Court has issued Non-bailable warrant against the petitioner for non-compliance of the order of furnishing surety, which may be stayed.

2. The statements made by the learned counsel for the petitioner are accepted. Hence, time to furnish surety as per the order passed by the learned Magistrate is extended upto 6th June, 2019. The surety is to be furnished before the trial Court. Till 6th June, 2019, the order of Non-bailable warrant is stayed. If the surety is not furnished, the trial Court to proceed with the execution of Non-bailable warrant.

3. The learned counsel for the applicant/intervener submitted that in the order dated 26th April, 2019 in paragraph 3, the amount of Rs.57,00,000/- is wrongly mentioned, it should be read as Rs.58,00,000/-.

4. The amount of Rs.57,00,000/- is to be read as Rs.58,00,000/-. Accordingly, the order dated 26th April, 2019 is corrected.

5. Writ Petition is disposed of. Criminal Application for intervention is also disposed of accordingly.

(MRIDULA BHATKAR, J.)