

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY
CIVIL APPELLATE JURISDICTION
WRIT PETITION NO. 4095 OF 2019

Vitthal Natha Waikar Petitioner

VERSUS

The State of Maharashtra & ors. Respondents

Mr. Dhairyasheel Sutar, Advocate for petitioner.

Mr. Y.D. Patil, AGP for Respondent No. 1 to 3.

Mr. Vaibhav Gaikwad, Advocate for respondent no. 4.

CORAM : S. S. Shinde

DATED : 16th APRIL, 2019

P.C.

1. Admittedly revision filed by the petitioner is pending before respondent no.1, therefore, without relying upon rival contentions, on merit it would be appropriate to direct the respondent no. 1 to decide pending revision filed by the petitioner within stipulated period.
2. Accordingly, respondent no. 1 is directed to decide pending revision filed by the petitioner as expeditiously as possible on or before 31st May 2019.
3. It is made clear that this court has not expressed opinion on merits of the matter and it is for the respondent no. 1 to take appropriate decision on merits and in accordance with law.

4. Learned counsel appearing for the respondent no. 4 on instructions makes a statement that till 31st May 2019 respondent no. 4 will not take any steps for execution/implementation of the order passed by the Tahsildar which has been confirmed by the respondent no. 2. Statement accepted.
5. In the light of aforesaid observations writ petition stands disposed of.

[S.S. SHINDE, J.]