

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY
CIVIL APPELLATE JURISDICTION
WRIT PETITION NO. 12058 OF 2017

Samruddha Resources Limited ... Petitioner

Versus

State of Maharashtra and Ors. ... Respondents

Mr. A.S. Khandeparkar a/w Adv Rajdeep Gude i/by Khandeparkar & Associates for the petitioner.

Adv.Rannaware for respondent no. 2.

Mr.Y.S. Khochare, AGP for State.

**CORAM : B.P. DHARMADHIKARI &
SANDEEP K. SHINDE, JJ.**
DATE : OCTOBER 11, 2019

P.C.:

Heard respective counsel.

2. It appears that the dispute is about identification/placement of the public road. Contention of the petitioner is road is actually in use and since there is no legal demarcation, users are facing various problems. Learned AGP has invited our attention to the facts pleaded in paragraph 16 of the petition.

3. We are not inclined to delve into any disputed fact at this stage. We grant petitioner leave to make appropriate representation to respondent nos. 1, 3, 5 and 6 or such other

authorities which the petitioner may deem fit in this respect.

4. If such representation is made within six weeks from today, the authorities shall take suitable decision upon it in accordance with law within next three months.

5. With these directions and keeping all contentions open, we dispose of the writ petition.

(SANDEEP K. SHINDE, J.)

(B.P. DHARMADHIKARI, J.)