

Urmila Ingale

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY
CIVIL APPELLATE JURISDICTION
WRIT PETITION NO. 3592 OF 2019

Madura Coats Pvt. Ltd.	.. Petitioner
Vs.	
Shri Jaywantrao Pisal and ors.	.. Respondents

Mr.V.M.Parkar, for the Petitioner.
Mr.Mahendra M. Agavekar, for Respondent No.1.
Mrs.V.S.Nimbalkar, AGP for Respondents No. 11 & 13.

CORAM : M.S.KARNIK, J.

DATE : 04th APRIL, 2019

P.C. :

. This matter is moved for speaking to minutes of order dated 25/03/2019 passed in the above Writ Petition.

2. Learned Counsel for the petitioner submits that in the operative part of the order dated 25/03/2019, following sentence remained to be added.

“The impugned order passed by the Industrial Court is quashed and set aside”.

3. There is obviously a typographical mistake. Clause (i) of the operative part of the said order would now read as under :

“The impugned order passed by the Industrial Court is quashed and set aside. The application Exhibit U-2 is remitted back to the Industrial Court for fresh hearing on its own merits.”

4. Order dated 25/03/2019 stands corrected accordingly. Rest of the order will remain unchanged.

(M.S.KARNIK, J.)