

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY
CRIMINAL APPELLATE JURISDICTION**

CRIMINAL APPLICATION NO. 318 OF 2018

Komal Vikas Sawhney

....Applicant

V/s.

The State of Maharashtra & Anr.

....Respondents

Nityoah S. Mehta i/b. Nityoah Suneel & Associates
for the applicant.

Mr. Ashvin V. Sakalkar for respondent no.2.

Mr. A.D. Kamkhedkar, APP for the State.

...

**CORAM: B.P. DHARMADHIKARI AND
SMT. ANUJA PRABHUDESSAI, JJ.**

DATE : 12th FEBRUARY, 2019.

P.C.:

. Matter was called out at the beginning and was kept back. Called out again and learned counsel for the applicant has finished her submissions. Thereafter, learned counsel for respondent no.2 is seeking time. Rejected.

2. The Consent Terms arrived at between parties in Petition A-1395 of 2014 before Family Court at Pune are not in dispute. Same are taken on record as Exhibit – 'A'.

3. Applicant-wife seeks quashing of RCC No.561/2017 on the file of JMFC, Pimpri. The counsel for respondent no.2-complainant has no objection. He further submits that respondent no.2 has still not arrived at Court.

4. In view of the Consent Terms and no objection, we make rule absolute in terms of prayer clause (a).

(ANUJA PRABHUDESSAI, J.)

(B.P. DHARMADHIKARI, J.)