

vks

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY  
CRIMINAL APPELLATE JURISDICTION  
CRIMINAL APPLICATION NO. 134 OF 2016  
IN  
CRIMINAL REVISION APPLICATION NO.165 OF 2014**

|                                                                                                                 |     |            |
|-----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|-----|------------|
| David Dayal Swami                                                                                               | ... | Applicant  |
| V/s.                                                                                                            |     |            |
| State of Maharashtra                                                                                            | ... | Respondent |
| <br>Mr. Sagar Tambe I/by Mr. Ritesh Thobde, for the<br>Applicant.<br>Mr. N. B. Patil, APP for respondent State. |     |            |

**CORAM : N. J. JAMADAR, J.**

**DATE : 13<sup>th</sup> November, 2019.**

**PC. :**

- 1] Heard the learned counsel for the applicant and the learned APP.
- 2] The impugned order is passed by the learned 2<sup>nd</sup> Ad hoc Additional Sessions Judge, Solapur on 16<sup>th</sup> April, 2014.
- 3] In the circumstances, at this stage, in view of the time lag, there is no propriety in hearing the application for stay of conviction.
- 4] It would be in the fitness of things to allow the alternate prayer of the applicant by directing that the Revision Application itself be heard expeditiously.
- 5] Criminal Application stands disposed of.

**[N. J. JAMADAR, J.]**