

jsn

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY
CIVIL APPELLATE JURISDICTION**

WRIT PETITION (ST) NO.6145 OF 2019

Saturn Rings & Forgings Pvt. Ltd.

Petitioner

V/s.

Bank of India

... Respondents

Mr. Rohit Gupta, with Mr. Vindo Kothari and Ms. Sonal Sanap i/b.
Apex Law Partners for the Petitioners.

Mr. O.A. Das, for Respondent – Bank.

Mr. Satish Damodar Akole, Petitioner No.2 present in Court.

CORAM: **A.A.SAYED &
RIYAZ I. CHAGLA, JJ.**
DATE: **27TH FEBRUARY, 2019.**

PC:-

1. The order challenged in this Petition is that of the DRT dated 25th February, 2019. The Petitioner has a remedy of challenging the impugned order before the DRAT. We are informed that the impugned order is not so far made available.

2. Inasmuch as the impugned order is not available and since the learned Counsel for the Petitioner fairly makes a statement on instructions from Mr. Satish Damodar Akole that in the event, the Petitioners are unable to obtain orders in the Appeal which the Petitioner proposes to file before DRAT, they shall voluntarily handover physical possession of the secured asset in question, we direct the Respondent – Bank to defer taking possession of the

secured asset in question which is scheduled for today for a period of two weeks.

2. The Petition is disposed of in the above terms with liberty to the Petitioner to approach the DRAT.

(RIYAZ I. CHAGLA J.)

(A.A.SAYED, J.)