

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY
CIVIL APPELLATE JURISDICTION**

WRIT PETITION NO. 4706 OF 2018

Gorakh Nana Kadam ... Petitioner.

V/s.

Latabaai Prakashrao Kadam & Ors. ... Respondents.

Mrs. Savita A. Prabhune, Advocate for the Petitioner.

Mr. Rahul Kadam, Advocate for Respondent Nos. 1 & 2.

Mr. R.P.Kadam, AGP for the Respondent No. 3-State.

CORAM : K. K. TATED, J.

DATE : JANUARY 17, 2019.

PC :

1 Heard learned counsel for the parties.

2 By this petition under Article 227 of the Constitution of India, the Petitioner (original plaintiff) is challenging the order dated 16.12.2017 passed by the Civil Judge, Senior Division, Baramati in Reg. Civil Suit No. 204 of 2010, allowing the Respondents' (original defendants) application for carrying out the amendment in the written statement.

3 Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the
trial court allowed the Respondents to carry out amendment
in written statement at the fag end of trial.

4 Considering this submission made by the petitioner
and the provisions under section 105 of the Civil Procedure
Code, 1908, I am of the opinion that it is not necessary to
interfere with the impugned order.

5 The writ petition stands rejected.

6 Hearing of the Regular Civil Suit No. 204 of 2010
is expedited.

(K. K. TATED,J.)

.....