

Shri.Kailas Sukrya Mhatre	}	Petitioner
versus		
Chief Engineer of Palghar,	}	
Palghar Municipal Council	}	
and Ors.	}	Respondents

Ms.A.A.Purav-AGP for State.

**CORAM :- S. C. DHARMADHIKARI &
B. P. COLABAWALLA, JJ.
DATE :- MARCH 13, 2019**

1. The petitioner's advocate Mr.Balkrishna Joshi very fairly states that the controversy raised in this petition has become infructuous by passage of time. In view of this statement made by Mr.Joshi, the writ petition is disposed of as infructuous.

2. Needless to clarify that if the issue is still alive, according to the petitioner, he can raise it in appropriate proceedings, including an election petition.

(B.P.COLABAWALLA, J.)

(S.C.DHARMADHIKARI, J.)