

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY
CRIMINAL APPELLATE JURISDICTION**

ANTICIPATORY BAIL APPLICATION NO. 460 OF 2019

Shyam Madhukar Fasge & Anr. ... Applicants

Versus

The State of Maharashtra ... Respondent

Mr. M. A. Choudhari a/w Kanchan Pawar I/by Yuvraj Bhange for Applicants.

Mr. A. A. Palkar, APP for Respondent – State.

Mr. Rajendra Jadhav, Police Inspector, Police Station, Bibwewadi, Pune present in Court.

CORAM : NITIN W. SAMBRE, J.

DATE : FEBRUARY 25, 2019.

P.C. :

. In Crime No. 30 of 2019 for an offence punishable under Section 370 read with Section 34 of Indian Penal Code and Sections 3, 4 & 5 of the Immoral Traffic (Prevention) Act, both the Applicants are seeking pre-arrest bail.

2. The statements of the Manager and the Landlord in categorical terms implicates the Applicant No.1 in the present crime.

3. That being so, the prayer made by the Applicant No.1 for releasing him on pre-arrest bail stands rejected.

4. So far as Applicant No.2 is concerned, it is the Applicant No.1 who has hired the premises and employed the Manager and other staff and started the business in the name of Applicant No.2, as is apparent from the investigation papers. That being so, the prayer made by the Applicant No.2 for releasing her on pre-arrest bail stands allowed. Hence the following order.

ORDER

- (A) In the event of arrest in Crime No. 30 of 2019 for an offence punishable under Section 370 read with Section 34 of Indian Penal Code and Sections 3, 4 & 5 of the Immoral Traffic (Prevention) Act, the Applicant No.2 be released on executing PR bond of Rs.25,000/- with one surety in the like amount.
- (B) The Applicant No.2 shall attend the Investigating Officer on 4th, 6th and 8th March 2019 between 10.00 a.m. to 12.00 noon and thereafter as and when directed.
- (C) The Applicant No.2 shall neither tamper the evidence of prosecution nor influence the prosecution witnesses.

5. Anticipatory Bail Application stands disposed of in above terms.

(NITIN W. SAMBRE, J.)