

Vat

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY
CIVIL APPELLATE JURISDICTION**

CIVIL APPLICATION No. 439 OF 2019
IN
WRIT PETITION No. 1850 OF 2019

Vikas Gajanan KambleApplicant
Vs.
The Additional Collector
(ENC/REM) And Appellate Auth.
Bandra, Wester Suburb and Ors. ...Respondents

WITH

WRIT PETITION No. 1853 OF 2019

Kalpana Gajanan Kamble ...Petitioner
Vs.
The State of Maharashtra
through Secretary,
Urban Development Department
and Ors. ...Respondents

WITH

WRIT PETITION No. 1854 OF 2019

Mangesh Gajanan Kamble ...Petitioner
Vs.
The State of Maharashtra
Through Secretary,
Urban Development Department
and Ors. ...Respondents

Mr. Manoj Kumar Upadhyay for Applicant

CORAM : SMT.SADHANA S. JADHAV, J.

DATE : FEBRUARY 22, 2019

P.C.:

1. Not on board. Upon mentioning, the matter is taken on the production board.
2. An application is made for speaking to the minutes of the order dated 8th February, 2019. It is submitted that in the said order, in paragraph 3, the word “Petitioner” shall substituted by the word “Respondent No.4” and after the word “rent”, it should be read as “ for the mother of the Petitioner”. Now the sentence shall read as under:

“The learned counsel for Respondent No.4 submits that since the contention that the amount is not paid towards any other transaction, but towards rent for the mother of the Petitioner has been upheld till the Hon'ble Supreme Court, he would return the said amount to respondent No.4 on the condition that he returns the vouchers”.

Civil Application is disposed of.

[SMT.SADHANA S. JADHAV, J.]