

Urmila Ingale

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY
CIVIL APPELLATE JURISDICTION
WRIT PETITION NO. 9493 OF 2019**

Taiyo Nippon Sanso India Pvt. Ltd.	... Petitioner
Vs.	
The Union of India and Ors.	... Respondents

Mr.Bharat Raichandani & Ms.Pragya Koolwal i/b UBR Legal for the Petitioner.

Mr.Pradeep S. Jetly a/w Mr. Jitendra B. Mishra for Respondent Nos. 1 and 2.

**CORAM : NITIN JAMDAR &
M. S. KARNIK, JJ.**

DATE : 19 DECEMBER, 2019.

P. C. :

Heard learned Counsel for the parties.

2. Learned Counsel for the Respondents submits that the representation of the Petitioner referred in the order dated 05/12/2019 passed on the earlier occasion has already been decided. Learned Counsel for the Respondents submits that as per his instructions, it is the stand of the Respondents while disposing of the representation that there was no technical error but it is a human error on the part of the Petitioner.

3. If the Petitioner's representation has already been decided as stated, the same shall be communicated to the Petitioner within a period of 2 weeks from today. Needless to state that the Petitioner is at liberty to take such appropriate action of challenging of the said decision as per law. No further order is required to be passed in this Petition.

4. Writ Petition is accordingly disposed of, with the said liberty.

[M. S. KARNIK, J.]

[NITIN JAMDAR, J.]

Urmila
P.
Ingle

Digitally
signed by
Urmila P. Ingle
Date:
2019.12.20
17:46:03
+0530