

pmw

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY
CIVIL APPELLATE JURISDICTION

REVIEW PETITION (ST) NO.814 OF 2018
IN
WRIT PETITION NO.8761 OF 2012

Zilla Parishad Nashik	... Applicant
<u>In the matter between</u>	
Dilip Rameshwar Sonkusale	... Petitioner
Vs.	
The State of Maharashtra	
through the Secretary, Rural Development,	
Water Conservation Department and Ors.	... Respondents

Mr. Kalpesh Patil I/b. Mr. Ashwinikumar R. Kapadnis for the Applicant.
Mr. R.K. Mendadkar, for the Orig. Petitioner.
Mrs. R.A. Salunkhe, AGP for the Respondent No.1.

CORAM : A.S.OKA AND
G.S. PATEL, JJ.

DATE : 28th JANUARY 2019.

[In Chamber at 2.35 pm]

P.C. :

1 Heard the learned counsel appearing for the petitioner.
Review of judgment and order dated 26th June 2013 is sought only on the
basis of the subsequent decision of the Apex Court in the case of *the*
Chairman and Managing Director, Food Corporation of India and Ors. Vs.
*Jagdish Balaram Bahira and Ors.*¹.

1. 2017(4) Mh.L.J. 898

2 It is well settled that subsequent pronouncement of law by higher Court is no ground for review. The decision of the Apex Court in the case of *Pradeep Kumar Maskara and Ors. Vs. State of West Bengal and Ors.*² supports this proposition. Hence, there is no ground for review. The Review Petition is rejected.

(G.S. PATEL, J.)

(A.S.OKA, J.)

2. (2015) 2 SCC 653