

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY

CRIMINAL APPELLATE JURISDICTION

WRIT PETITION NO. 57 OF 2017

Capt Pawanpreet Singh ... Petitioner

Versus

State of Maharashtra and Ors. ... Respondents

Mr. Kedar Patil i/by Adv Manoj Mohite and Advocate Jindal for the Petitioner.

Mr. K.V. Saste, APP for respondent State.

**CORAM : B.P. DHARMADHIKARI &
REVATI MOHITE DERE, JJ.**

DATE : MARCH 05, 2019

P.C.:

Respondent no.2 original complainant is still not served. Statement of counsel for the petitioner is in view of the latter developments, interest of justice would be met with if the sessions trial itself is expedited. He points out that the petitioner is a responsible officer with defence force and working in forward area and it is very difficult for him to attend the trial.

2. In this situation, without observing anything on the merits of the controversy, we direct the petitioner to appear before the trial court on the next scheduled date. Trial court shall thereafter

attempt to complete the proceedings at the earliest and in any case within a period of six months of drawing the proper calendar in advance so as to facilitate the attendance of the petitioner. With these directions and with opportunity to the petitioner to approach again if any grievance survives/arise thereafter, we dispose of the present petition.

(REVATI MOHITE DERE, J.)

(B.P. DHARMADHIKARI, J.)