

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY  
CIVIL APPELLATE JURISDICTION  
WRIT PETITION NO.14221 OF 2018**

|                          |              |
|--------------------------|--------------|
| Vijay Digambar Kassa     | ..Petitioner |
| Versus                   |              |
| The State of Maharashtra | ..Respondent |

WITH  
WRIT PETITION NO.14218 OF 2018

|                          |              |
|--------------------------|--------------|
| Sanjay Dattatraya Desai  | ..Petitioner |
| Versus                   |              |
| The State of Maharashtra | ..Respondent |

WITH  
WRIT PETITION NO.13082 OF 2018

|                          |              |
|--------------------------|--------------|
| Vidya Sunil Patil        | ..Petitioner |
| Versus                   |              |
| The State of Maharashtra | ..Respondent |

Mr. Nitin P. Dalvi a/w Ms. Bhavana Punalekar, Advocate for the  
Petitioner in all the Writ Petitions.  
Mrs. R. A. Salunkhe, AGP for Respondent - State.

**CORAM : B. R. GAVAI &  
N. J. JAMADAR, JJ.  
DATE : 14<sup>th</sup> FEBRUARY, 2019**

**P.C.**

**1]** The Petitions challenge the common order dated 5<sup>th</sup>  
October 2018 passed by the learned Maharashtra Administrative  
Tribunal in three Original Applications filed by the Petitioners,  
thereby rejecting their Original Applications.

**2]** The Original Applicants had filed their Original

Applications challenging their transfer order from Kolhapur to various places. Being aggrieved thereby, the Petitioners had approached the learned Tribunal. The learned Tribunal by an elaborate reasons has rejected the Original Applications.

**3]** Heard Mr. Nitin P. Dalvi, learned counsel for the Petitioners and Mrs. R. A. Salunkhe, learned AGP for Respondent – State.

**4]** The scope of interference in the extraordinary jurisdiction of this Court under Article 226 of the Constitution of India, while examining correctness of the order passed by the learned Tribunal is very limited. Unless finding of the learned Tribunal is found to be perverse or impossible, it will not be appropriate for this Court to interfere with the order passed by the learned Tribunal. The learned Tribunal has found that the Applicants before it were already due for transfer and therefore, it cannot be said that there was any illegality in the transfer orders. We do not find that the order passed by the learned Tribunal warrant an interference. The Writ Petitions are rejected.

**[N. J. JAMADAR, J.]**

**[B. R. GAVAI, J.]**