

Dond

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY
CIVIL APPELLATE JURISDICTION**

CIVIL WRIT PETITION (ST.)NO.31333 OF 2018

Rajendra Parmeshwar Ithape

..Petitioner

Vs

The State of Maharashtra & Ors

..Respondents

WITH

CIVIL WRIT PETITION NO.10959 OF 2018

Vikas Devba Pawar

..Petitioner

Vs

The State of Maharashtra & Ors

..Respondents

WITH

CIVIL WRIT PETITION NO.10961 OF 2018

Ankush Anandrao Pawar

..Petitioner

Vs

The State of Maharashtra & Ors

..Respondents

WITH

CIVIL WRIT PETITION NO.10962 OF 2018

Narayan Waman Ghikne

..Petitioner

Vs

The State of Maharashtra & Ors

..Respondents

WITH

CIVIL WRIT PETITION NO.11467 OF 2018

Sanjay Namdev Gaikwad

..Petitioner

Vs

The State of Maharashtra & Ors

..Respondents

WITH

CIVIL WRIT PETITION NO.11790 OF 2018

Kamlakar Appaso Babar

..Petitioner

Vs

The State of Maharashtra & Ors

..Respondents

**WITH
CIVIL WRIT PETITION NO.11946 OF 2018**

Rajendra Rameshwar Ithape

..Petitioner

Vs

The State of Maharashtra & Ors

..Respondents

Mr. V.S. Talkute, for the Petitioners.

Mr. S.D. Rayrikar, AGP for the State in WP 11467/2018.

Advocate Kanan Deshmukh i/b U.R. Gaikwad for respondent.

Mr. S.H. Kankal, AGP for State in AGP for State in WP Nos.10959, 10961, 10962 of 2018.

Ms. M.,S. Bane, AGP for State in WPST 31333 of 2018.

CORAM : A.S.GADKARI, J.

DATE : 3rd September 2019.

P.C.:

1] At the outset, the learned AGP raised a preliminary objection and submitted that, against the impugned Order passed under Rule 82 of the Maharashtra Minor Mineral Extraction (Development And Regulation) Rules, 2013, an alternate remedy by way of Revision under Rule 84 is maintainable before the State Government. He submitted that, without availing the said alternate remedy available with them, they have directly approached this Court by way of present petitions.

2] In view thereof, the learned counsel for the petitioners on instructions seeks leave to withdraw the petitions with liberty to file Revision Application under Rule 84 of the said Act.

Leave and liberty granted.

3] It is needless to mention that, this Court has not dealt with the merits involved in petitions and the same are disposed off in view of the preliminary objection raised by the learned AGP.

4] Ad-interim relief granted in all petitions to remain in force for a period of five weeks from today.

5] It is further needless to mention that, the petitioners are entitled to the benefit as contemplated under section 14 of the Limitation Act.

6] All petitions are disposed off as withdrawn with aforesaid liberty.

(A.S.GADKARI, J.)