

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY
CIVIL APPELLATE JURISDICTION**

SECOND APPEAL NO.939 OF 2007

Appasaheb Govindrao Tate	..	Appellant
Vs.		
Jaywant Appasaheb Tate	..	Respondent

**ALONG WITH
SECOND APPEAL NO.988 OF 2007**

Jaywant Appasaheb Tate	..	Appellant
Vs.		
Appasaheb Govindrao Tate	..	Respondent

Mr.A.B. Borkar for the appellant in SA 939 of 2007.

Mr.Arvind Aswani for the appellant in SA 939 of 2007 and for the respondent in 988 of 2007.

Mr.T.D.Deshmukh for the appellant in SA 988 of 2007 and for the respondent in 939 of 2007.

**CORAM : R.D.DHANUKA, J.
DATE : 24th September 2019**

P.C.:

. Mr.Borkar, learned counsel for the appellant in Second Appeal No.939 of 2007 states that he has no objection if the learned counsel for appearing on behalf of the appellant appears on the basis of NOC obtained from the erstwhile advocate on record. Statement is accepted.

2. Mr.Borkar, learned advocate is discharged on behalf of the appellant. Mr.Aswani, learned advocate for the appellant states that he would file vakalatnama during the course of the day. Statement is accepted.

3. Learned counsel for the appellant in Second Appeal No.939 of 2007 and Second Appeal No.988 of 2007, on instructions, seeks liberty to withdraw their respective appeal. Learned counsel for the appellant in Second Appeal No.939 of 2007 states that his client also wants to withdraw this appeal.

4. Both the second appeals are dismissed as withdrawn. In view dismissal of both the second appeals, pending civil applications, if any, do not survive and are accordingly disposed of. No order as to costs.

R.D.DHANUKA, J.