

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY
CIVIL APPELLATE JURISDICTION
WRIT PETITION NO. 9395 OF 2018

Sandip Narayan Patil ...Petitioner

Versus

The State of Maharashtra & ors. ...Respondents

Mr. N. V. Bandiwadekar, I/b Ashwini N. Bandiwadekar,
Neha N. Bandiwadekar, for the Petitioner.

Dr. Mrs. K. R. Kulkarni, AGP for Respondent nos.1 & 2/
State.

Mr. Suresh Kumar J. Panicker, a/w Poonam Panicker, I/b
Panicker & Asso., for Respondent no.5.

**CORAM: R. M. BORDE &
N. J. JAMADAR, JJ**

DATED: 1st AUGUST, 2019

PC:-

1. Perused Affidavit-in-reply presented on behalf of Respondent nos.1 and 2. In view of the contentions raised in paragraph 14 of Affidavit-in-reply, a grievance raised by the petitioner in the instant petition need not be considered.

2. In view of the above, the petition stands disposed of.

3. It is clarified that this Court has not expressed any opinion as regards the merits of the contentions raised by the petitioner or the correctness of the stand taken by the respondents.

[N. J. JAMADAR, J.]

[R. M. BORDE, J.]