

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY
CIVIL APPELLATE JURISDICTION**

WRIT PETITION NO.9053 OF 2016

Sujit Ramakant Shinde and Anr. ... Petitioners
versus
Union of India and Ors. ... Respondents

Mr. Siddhesh Pilankar i/by Mr.Uday Warunjikar, for Petitioners.
Ms. Neeta Masurkar with Mr. M.S.Chunawalla, for Respondent Nos.1 and 2.
Ms. Lata Patane i/by Mr. Vinod Joshi, for Respondent No.3.

**CORAM: S.J. KATHAWALLA &
B.P.COLABAWALLA, JJ.**

DATE: 6th DECEMBER, 2019

P.C.:

1. The learned Advocate for the Petitioners states that the latest notification/office memorandum is not challenged in the present writ petition and he wishes to challenge the same. Even earlier, amendments have been carried out to the present writ petition. The writ petition is therefore, disposed of as infructuous with liberty to the Petitioners to file a fresh writ petition if so advised incorporating the challenge to the fresh notification/office memorandum.

(B.P.COLABAWALLA, J.)

(S.J.KATHAWALLA, J.)