

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY

CRIMINAL APPELLATE JURISDICTION

WRIT PETITION NO. 5611 OF 2019

WITH

WRIT PETITION NO.3494 OF 2019

Randhir @ Nikhil Ashok Singh ... Petitioner

Versus

The State of Maharashtra and Ors. ... Respondents

Mr.N.N. Gavankar i/by Mr. Manas N. Gawankar for the petitioner.

Smt. Aruna Pai, APP for the State.

**CORAM : B.P. DHARMADHIKARI &
SMT. SADHANA S. JADHAV, JJ.**

DATE : NOVEMBER 14, 2019

P.C.:

The only question which survives for consideration is whether the condition requiring the petitioner to report at Tilak Nagar Police Station to mark his attendance is sustainable. The contention of the petitioner is offence occurred at Tilak Nagar. Vide clause 9 he has been restrained from entering the jurisdiction of the said police station. He therefore, state that he can mark his attendance in Bhandup police station. Learned APP submits that before imposing these conditions, he was questioned and then conditions have been imposed. He agreed to those conditions. He has been accordingly released on

8/11/2019 to avail the furlough leave of 28 days.

2. During hearing, we find that the petitioner is already reporting at Tilak Nagar police station. As such in the present facts, we are not inclined to intervene in the writ jurisdiction.

3. Both Writ petitions are accordingly disposed of.

(SMT. SADHANA S. JADHAV, J.)

(B.P. DHARMADHIKARI, J.)