

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY
CIVIL APPELLATE JURISDICTION**

CIVIL APPLICATION NO. 3521 OF 2016
in
FIRST APPEAL (ST) NO.19527 OF 2016

ICICI Lombard General Insurance Co. Ltd. ..Applicant

v/s.

Savita Mahadeo Patil & Ors. ..Respondents

Ms. Dipika Prabhala I/b. Res Juris for the Applicant.
None for the Respondent.

**CORAM : SMT. ANUJA PRABHUDESSAI, J.
DATED : 5th AUGUST, 2019.**

P.C.

1. It has been brought to my notice that Advocate Akshay Shinde had put in his appearance for the respondent nos.1 and 2. However, in Order dated 14th June. 2019 his appearance is shown only for the respondent no.1. The same is corrected to read as “Advocate Mr. Akshay Shinde for the respondent nos.1 and 2”.

2. Since Advocate Akshay Shinde is appearing for respondent nos.1 and 2. para 3 of the order which records that “Learned Counsel Mr. Shinde waives service on behalf of respondent nos.1 to

3” is corrected to read as “Learned Counsel Mr. Shinde waives service on behalf of respondent nos.1 and 2”.

3. Consequently, last line of para no.3 wherein it is mentioned “Issue notice to the Respondent No.4” is corrected to read as “Issue Notice to the Respondent No.3”.

4. Order dated 14th June, 2019 stands corrected accordingly.

5. Notice is made returnable on 3rd September, 2019.

(ANUJA PRABHUDESSAI, J.)