

***IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY
CIVIL APPELLATE JURISDICTION***

WRIT PETITION NO.6837 OF 2014

Rajendra Prakash Gore ... Petitioner

Vs

Union of India,
Through Secretary,
Ministry of Petroleum and
Gas and Anr.

... Respondents

WITH

WRIT PETITION NO.613 OF 2015

Neeta Dattatray Sakhare

...Petitioner

Vs

Union of India,
Through Secretary,
Ministry of Petroleum and
Gas and Anr.

... Respondents

WITH

WRIT PETITION NO.6820 OF 2014

Prashant Sunil Kalamkar

...Petitioner

Vs.

Union of India,
Through Secretary,
Ministry of Petroleum and
Gas and Anr.

... Respondents

WITH
WRIT PETITION NO.6825 OF 2014

Sanket Kantilal AlhatPetitioner
Vs.
Union of India,
Through Secretary,
Ministry of Petroleum and
Gas and Anr. ... Respondents

WITH
WRIT PETITION NO.6835 OF 2014

Nitin Eknath Langote ...Petitioner
Vs
Union of India,
Through Secretary,
Ministry of Petroleum and
Gas and Anr. ... Respondents

WITH
WRIT PETITION NO.6836 OF 2014

Rahul Uttam Bhanghe ...Petitioner
Vs
Union of India,
Through Secretary,
Ministry of Petroleum and
Gas and Anr. ... Respondents

...

Mr. Rahul S. Kate for the Petitioners.
Mr. Pranil K. Sonawane with Mr. Akshay S. Deshpande for the
Respondent No.1.
Mr. M.D.Siodia I/by Rustamji & Ginwala for Respondent No.2.

CORAM : B.R.GAVAI &
SANDEEP K. SHINDE JJ.
DATE : 12 MARCH, 2019

P.C. :

Petitioners challenge rejection of their applications for grant of LPG dealership on the ground that period of lease was short.

2 Mr. Kate the learned counsel for the Petitioners submits that this Court has subsequently taken a view that even if the period of lease is short, applications can be considered.

3 We do not propose to go into that issue of the matter. Petitions were filed in the year 2014. Dealership on the basis of advertisement which is the subject matter of the present petitions were already awarded to various persons in the year 2014-15. Neither of such persons in whose favour dealership has been awarded have made party respondents.

4 In that view of the matter, we find entertaining petitions at such belated stage would lead to nothing else but creating various complications.

5 In that view of the matter, we are not inclined to entertain the present petitions and are dismissed accordingly.

(SANDEEP K. SHINDE, J.)

(B.R.GAVAI, J.)