

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY**CRIMINAL APPELLATE JURISDICTION****CRIMINAL APPLICATION NO. 269 OF 2018**

The State of Maharashtra

.....Applicant

Vs.

Ananda Manku Patil & Ors.

....Respondents.

Ms. P.P. Shinde, APP for the Applicant.

Mr. Anand S. Patil for Respondent Nos. 3 to 7.

CORAM : A. S. OKA, AND**A. S. GADKARI, JJ.****DATE : 25th FEBRUARY, 2019.****P.C.:-**

Heard the learned APP for the Applicant and the learned counsel appearing for the Respondent Nos. 3 to 7. The learned counsel appearing for the Respondent Nos. 3 to 7 has opposed the Application.

2 A sufficient cause is made out to condone the delay of 30 days. Hence, the rule is made absolute in terms of prayer clause (a).

(A.S. GADKARI, J.)**(A.S. OKA, J.)**