

Avinash Nivrutti Bhosale	}	Petitioner
versus		
State of Maharashtra and ors.	}	Respondents

M/s.Liberty Oil Mills Ltd.	)	Petitioner
versus		
State of Maharashtra and Ors.	)	Respondents

Liberty Oil Mills Ltd. } **Petitioner**

versus

State of Maharashtra and Ors. } **Respondents**

Liberty Oil Mills Ltd. } **Petitioner**
versus
State of Maharashtra and Ors. } **Respondents**

Avinash Nivrutti Bhosale	}	Petitioner
versus		
State of Maharashtra and Ors.	}	Respondent

Avinash Constructions	}	Petitioner
versus		
State of Maharashtra and Ors.	}	Respondents

WITH
WRIT PETITION NO. 5561 of 2009

Indian Wind Energy	}	
Association and Ors.	}	Petitioners
versus		
State of Maharashtra and Ors.	}	Respondents

WITH
WRIT PETITION NO. 5594 OF 2009

Vandana Textiles	}	Petitioner
versus		
The Grampanchayat Divashi	}	
Khurd and Ors.	}	Respondents

WITH
WRIT PETITION NO. 5595 OF 2009

Mahesh Textiles Processors	}	Petitioner
versus		
The Granpanchayat Doroshi	}	
and Ors.	}	Respondents

WITH
WRIT PETITION NO. 5600 OF 2009

Umang Textiles	}	Petitioner
versus		
The Grampanchayat Divashi	}	
Khurd and Ors.	}	Respondents

WITH
WRIT PETITION NO. 5603 OF 2009

Vishnu Textile Corporation	}	Petitioner
versus		
The Grampanchayat Divashi	}	
Khurd and Ors.	}	Respondents

WITH
WRIT PETITION NO. 5663 OF 2009

Arvind Dyeing and Bleaching }
Mills Pvt. Ltd. } Petitioner
versus
State of Maharashtra and Ors. } Respondents

WITH
WRIT PETITION NO. 5695 OF 2009

Arvind Cotsyn (India) Ltd. } Petitioner
versus
State of Maharashtra and Ors. } Respondents

WITH
WRIT PETITION NO. 5730 OF 2009

Arvind Finlease Pvt. Ltd. } Petitioner
versus
State of Maharashtra and Ors. } Respondents

WITH
WRIT PETITION NO. 5738 OF 2009

Sun-N-Sand Hotel Pvt. Ltd. } Petitioner
versus
State of Maharashtra and Ors. } Respondents

WITH
WRIT PETITION NO. 5757 OF 2009

Sun-N-Sund Hotel Pvt. Ltd. } Petitioner
versus
State of Maharashtra and Ors. } Respondents

WITH
WRIT PETITION NO. 5791 OF 2009

B.S.Channabasappa and Sons } Petitioner
versus
The Granpanchayat }
Gawadewadi and Ors. } Respondents

WITH
WRIT PETITION NO. 5792 OF 2009

B.S.Channabasappa and Sons	}	Petitioner
versus		
The Granpanchayat	}	
Gawadewadi and Ors.	}	Respondents

WITH
WRIT PETITION NO. 5807 OF 2009

S.K.Parikh and Sons	}	Petitioner
versus		
The Granpanchayat	}	
Gawadewadi and Ors.	}	Respondents

WITH
WRIT PETITION NO. 5808 OF 2009

Charbhuja Sales Corporation	}	Petitioner
versus		
The Granpanchayat	}	
Gawadewadi and Ors.	}	Respondents

WITH
WRIT PETITION NO. 5809 OF 2009

B.S.C. Textiles	}	Petitioner
versus		
The Granpanchayat	}	
Gawadewadi and Ors.	}	Respondents

WITH
WRIT PETITION NO. 5810 OF 2009

B.S.C. Textiles	}	Petitioner
versus		
The Granpanchayat	}	
Gawadewadi and Ors.	}	Respondents

**WITH
WRIT PETITION NO. 6148 OF 2009**

Varroc Engineering Pvt. Ltd.	}	
and Ors.	}	Petitioners
versus		
State of Maharashtra and Ors.	}	Respondents

Mr.Tushar Sonawane for the Petitioners
in WP Nos.2070/10, 557/10, 566/10,
573/10, 1424/10, 1425/10 and 5738/09.

Mr.Jainish Jain I/b M/S Lex Fourms for
the Petitioner in WP 5757/09.

Mr.Abhijeet B.Desai I/b Mr.Prashant P.
Kulkarni for the Petitioners in WP
Nos.5594/09, 5595/09, 5600/09 and
5603/09.

Mr.Rahul Kulkarni for the Petitioners in
WP Nos.5791/09, 5792/09, 5807/09,
5808/09, 5809/09 and 5810/09.

Ms.Risha Sharma I/b FF and Associates
for the Petitioners in WP Nos.6148/09 and
5561/09.

Mrs.Kirti Kulkarni, AGP for State in all
writ petitions.

Mr.Uday P. Warunjikar for Zilla Parishad
Satara in all writ petitions.

Mr.Gaurav Narkar I/b Manoj Patil for
Respondent No.3 in WP Nos.1424/10 and
1425/10.

**CORAM :- S. C. DHARMADHIKARI &
B.P.COLABAWALLA, JJ.**

DATE :- APRIL 16, 2019

P.C. :-

After these petitions were placed before us and our attention is invited to the judgment of the Hon'ble Supreme Court rendered in the case of *Ahmedabad Municipal Corporation Vs GTL Infrastructure Limited and Others*¹, it is conceded that the Maharashtra Village Panchayats Act and particularly, the provision invoked (Section 124) would enable a Panchayat (Grampanchayat) to levy, assess and recover taxes on the windmills. Once the position in law is conceded in the above terms, then, none of the writ petitions deserve to be entertained. Each one of them is disposed of in terms of the judgment of the Hon'ble Supreme Court. There will be no order as to costs.

(B.P.COLABAWALLA, J.)

(S.C.DHARMADHIKARI, J.)

¹ (2017) 3 Supreme Court Cases 545