

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY
CIVIL APPELLATE JURISDICTION**

WRIT PETITION NO.8519 OF 2019

Vasant Govind Gurav ...Petitioner
Versus
The State of Maharashtra and Ors. ...Respondents

WITH

WRIT PETITION NO.8819 OF 2019

Prashant Dadasaheb Patil and Anr. ...Petitioners
Versus
The State of Maharashtra and Ors. ...Respondents

WITH

WRIT PETITION NO.8184 OF 2019

Dhanpal Yeshwant Danane ...Petitioner
Versus
The State of Maharashtra and Ors. ...Respondents

WITH

WRIT PETITION (STAMP) NO.7433 OF 2019

Vishwas Bhimgonda Patil and Ors. ...Petitioners
Versus
The State of Maharashtra and Ors. ...Respondents

WITH

WRIT PETITION (STAMP) NO.9886 OF 2019

WITH

WRIT PETITION (STAMP) NO.9887 OF 2019

Nemgonda Balgonda Patil and Ors. ...Petitioners
Versus
The State of Maharashtra and Ors. ...Respondents

WITH

WRIT PETITION (STAMP) NO.7429 OF 2019

Babgonda Raygonda Patil ...Petitioners
Versus
The State of Maharashtra and Ors. ...Respondents

.....

Mr. Surel S. Shah for the Petitioners.
Ms P.N. Diwan, AGP in WP/8519/2019, Mr. V.S. Gokhale, AGP in WPST/7429/2019, Mr. R.P. Kadam, AGP in WPST/7433/2019, Mr. N.K. Rajpurohit, AGP in WP/8184/2019, Mr. A.A. Alaspurkar, AGP for in WP/8819/2019 and Mr. S.B. Kalel, AGP in WPST/9886/2019 and WPST/9887/2019 for the Respondents -State.

**CORAM : A.A. SAYED &
SMT. ANUJA PRABHUDESSAI, JJ.**

DATED: 13th NOVEMBER, 2019.

P.C.:-

1. It is not disputed before the Court that the issue raised in these Petitions is squarely covered by the judgment in ***Popat Bahiru Govardhane and Ors. vs. Special Land Acquisition Officer and Anr. (2013) 10 SCC 765*** wherein the Supreme Court has held that the quasi judicial Authority-the Land Acquisition Collector/Officer is not a Court and therefore the provisions of Limitation Act, 1963 do not apply.
2. In light of the above, the impugned orders of the Respondent No.3-Special Land Acquisition Officer rejecting the Applications of the Petitioners under Section 28-A of the Land Acquisition Act, 1894, on the ground of limitation relying upon the aforesaid judgment of the Supreme Court cannot be faulted.
3. The Petitions are accordingly dismissed.

(ANUJA PRABHUDESSAI, J.)

(A.A. SAYED, J.)