

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY  
CIVIL APPELLATE JURISDICTION**

**WRIT PETITION NO. 3966 OF 2018**

Shri Vinayak Gajanan Paranjape .. Petitioner  
Vs.

1. The State of Maharashtra  
& Ors. .. Respondents

Mr. Ashutosh B. Patil I/b Advocate Ashwini N. Bandiwadekar for petitioner.

Ms. Kavita Solunke, AGP for respondent Nos. 1, 2 and 4.

**CORAM : R. M. BORDE &  
N.J. JAMADAR, JJ.**

**DATE : 13<sup>TH</sup> JUNE 2019**

**P.C.**

1 The learned counsel for the petitioner informs that by passage of time, the petition is rendered infructuous. It is further informed that the challenge raised in the instant petition is in respect of the order of suspension from services during continuance of departmental proceedings. However, the proceedings of enquiry has been concluded and the petitioner has been awarded the punishment of dismissal from service and an appeal against the order of dismissal has also been filed and is stated to be pending.

2 In this view of the matter, the petition is disposed of.

3 Since we have not dealt with merits of contentions raised in the petition, those are specifically kept open for consideration by an appropriate forum in an appropriate proceeding.

[ N.J. JAMADAR, J. ]

[ R. M. BORDE, J.]