

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY  
CRIMINAL APPELLATE JURISDICTION**

**CRIMINAL APPLICATION NO.85 OF 2018**

Mrs. Shalan Sadashiv Shinde .... Applicant

Vs.

The State of Maharashtra & Ors. .... Respondents

Mr. Kailas S. Surve I/by Mr. Amit J. Sheth for the Applicant.

Mr. Abhay A. Jadhavar I/by Mr. Sachin K. Hande for Respondent nos.  
2 to 11, 13 and 14.

Mr. Rahul Shinde I/by Mr. Samadhan A. Kashid for Respondent no.1

Mr. Y.M. Nakhwa, APP for the State.

**Coram : Smt. Sadhana S. Jadhav, J.**

**Date : 8<sup>th</sup> April 2019**

P.C.

1            Heard the learned counsel for the applicant. The applicant  
herein challenging the judgment and order dated 30<sup>th</sup> November 2017  
passed by the District Judge-3 and Additional Sessions Judge, Sangli.

2            The learned counsel for the applicant submits that the  
Clerk of the Advocate had not filed V.P. in the new format and  
therefore the delay of two days caused in filing the Criminal

Application. The advocate had to leave Mumbai for urgent work and Synopsis, List of dates and Events could not be submitted due to the fault of the Clerk. The advocate for the respondents submits that this would not amount to sufficient cause for condoning the delay. However, in the interest of justice, since the litigant should not suffer due to fault of the advocates, the delay deserves to be condoned, upon paying fine of Rs.200/- to be deposited in this Court on/or before 22<sup>nd</sup> April 2019. The application is allowed. The delay is condoned.

**(Smt. Sadhana S. Jadhav, J.)**