

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET
IN THE HIGH COURT OF BOMBAY AT GOA
APPELLATE SIDE**

MISC.CIVIL APPLICATION NO. 1072 OF 2018

IN

WRIT PETITION NO. 494 OF 2010

CRISOLA MOURA AND 3 ORS.,

... Petitioner

Versus

LIGORIO PEREIRA, THR. ATTORNEY

JOAO PEREIRA AND 8 ORS.,

... Respondent

Adv. V. Parab for the petitioners.

REGISTRAR'S ORDER

On perusal of the bailiff report dated 29.11.2018, it reveals that the notice of respondent no. 3(f) is returned unserved with remarks that no body resides on the said address, since last four years. The bailiff also reported that, though the respondent no. 3(e) is the brother of respondent no. 3(f), he avoided to give the correct address of respondent no. 3(f). According to the applicant the address on which the notice was issued to respondent no. 3(f) is the last known address. Though, respondent no. 3(e) is the brother of respondent no. 3(f) who avoided to give correct address, though he is having knowledge of this matter. The service will not be effected, unless the substituted service is issued. Hence, application is allowed. Issue notice to the respondent no. 3(f) by affixation, as prayed for.

Date: 21st February, 2019

(Kiran A. Bagi)
Registrar(Judicial)

sk