

IN THE HIGH COURT OF BOMBAY AT GOA

WRIT PETITION NO. 119 OF 2019

RAJENDRA VENKATESH SHIRODKAR AND
ANR.,

... Petitioners

Versus

THE SANKHALI MUNICIPAL COUNCIL,
THR. ITS CHIEF OFFICER AND ANR.,

... Respondents

Mr. Vivek Angelo Rodrigues, Advocate for the Petitioners.

Mr. Sandesh D. Padiyar, Advocate for the Respondent No.1.

Ms. Amira Razaq, Advocate for the Respondent No.2.

Coram:- M. S. SONAK &
PRITHVIRAJ K. CHAVAN, JJ.

Date:- 26th February 2019

P.C.:

1. Mr. S.D. Padiyar, learned counsel appearing for the Sankhali Municipal Council, makes a statement that the Municipal Council has no intention of undertaking any further development in the suit plot, unless they take resort to the provisions of Section 85 of the Goa Municipalities Act, 1968. This statement is accepted.

2. Mr. Vivek Angelo Rodrigues, learned counsel for the petitioners states that on the basis of the aforesaid statement, he does not wish to press this petition any further. However, he adds

that all contentions, including the contentions as regards the applicability of Section 85 of the Goa Municipalities Act, 1968, may be kept open.

3. Accordingly, this petition is disposed of on the aforesaid basis, by leaving open all the contentions of all the parties.

PRITHVIRAJ K. CHAVAN, J.

M. S. SONAK, J.

Dv