

IN THE HIGH COURT OF BOMBAY AT GOA

CONTEMPT PETITION NO. 35 OF 2019

IN

WRIT PETITION NO. 511 OF 2019

SHREE MAHADEV DEVASTHAN, THR. ITS
ATTORNEY, RAJENDRA PANDHARI SAWANT
DESSAI, ... Petitioner

Versus

STATE OF GOA, THR. ITS CHIEF
SECRETARY AND 5 ORS., ... Respondents

Mr. I. Santimano, Advocate for the Petitioner.
Mr. D. Pangam, Advocate General with Mr. Vishwadh
Sardessai, Addl. Government Advocate for Respondent Nos.1 &
2.
Mr. P. Talaulikar, Advocate for Respondent No.6.

Coram:- M. S. SONAK &
C. V. BHADANG, JJ.

Date:- 2nd December, 2019

P.C.

Heard Mr. I. Santimano, learned counsel for the Petitioner.
Learned Advocate General appears alongwith Mr. V. Sardesai
for Respondent Nos.1 and 2 and Mr. P. Talaulikar appears for
Respondent No.6.

2. The learned Advocate General states that in terms of the
directions of this Court, the complaint of the Petitioner has in
fact been disposed of however he submits that it was realised that

this Court also directed that the Petitioner be heard before the disposal of such complaint. He submits that by inadvertent this was not done. The learned Advocate General now submits that the complaint of the Petitioner will positively be disposed of by Respondent No.4 within two weeks from today after affording opportunity of hearing to both the Petitioner as well as the Respondent No.5 in the original petition i.e. Irfan M. Shaikh.

3. Mr. Talaulikar states that Respondent No.6 in this Contempt Petition has already complied with the orders made by this Court.

4. Therefore, by accepting the statement of the learned Advocate General, we dispose of this petition.

C. V. BHADANG, J.

M. S. SONAK, J.

at*