

IN THE HIGH COURT OF BOMBAY AT GOA

CONTEMPT PETITION NO. 47 OF 2018

IN

WRIT PETITION NO. 394 OF 2017

THE EDENWOODS CO-OPERATIVE HOUSING
SOCIETY LTD.,

... Petitioner

Versus

SANJIV M. GADKAR

... Respondent

Mr. P. A. Kholkar, Advocate for the petitioner.

Mr. A. Jamadar, Addl. Govt. Advocate for the respondent.

Coram:- M. S. SONAK &
PRITHVIRAJ K. CHAVAN, JJ.

Date:- 4th February 2019

P.C.

Heard Mr. P. A. Kholkar, learned Advocate for the petitioner and Mr. A. Jamadar, learned Addl. Govt. Advocate for the respondent.

2. In pursuance of assurance given to us and as recorded in our order dated 14.12.2018, the Contemnor, has made judgment and order dated 25.1.2019.

3. The Contemnor, in his affidavit in reply dated 14.12.2018 has tendered an unconditional apology while explaining the circumstances in which, why our order earlier could not be complied with, within the prescribed period. In such matter, tendering an apology, is not sufficient, the Officer concerned is

in the first place, required to take steps to dispose of the matter within the prescribed period and if it is really not possible, then to seek extension of time before expiry of such period. Nothing of this sort appears to have been done in the present matter.

4. Nevertheless, now that the matter is disposed of, we do not propose to take this matter any further. We, however, hope that Contemnor in future, complies with judicial directions promptly and within time limit setout.

5. Contempt petition is disposed of.

PRITHVIRAJ K. CHAVAN, J.

M. S. SONAK, J.