

IN THE HIGH COURT OF BOMBAY AT GOA

WRIT PETITION NO. 1029 OF 2018

MATHURAI RAIKAR, THR. LR., KAMAL
SOMAN NAIK RAIKAR @ SHOBHAVATI N.
PARPATI, THR. POA ULHAS PARPATI., ... Petitioner

Versus

BHAGWANT SHIRODKAR BHAGWANT GOVIND
SHIRODKAR @ BABAN G. SHIRODKAR @
BABONI SHIRODKAR AND ANR., ... Respondents

Adv. Preetam Talaulikar U/las for the Petitioner.

Coram:- C. V. BHADANG, J.

Date:- 28th February 2019

Oral Order:

Upon hearing Shri Talaulikar, the learned counsel for the petitioner, I do not find that any interference is called for in the impugned judgment, in the exercise of the supervisory jurisdiction of this Court under Article 227 of the Constitution of India.

2. The respondent was examined and cross examined over a period of time, after which he sought reexamination, which was not granted by the Mamlatdar and which order was confirmed by the the learned Dy. Collector. The Administrative Tribunal by the impugned judgment and order has granted the application for recall. I have gone through the impugned judgment passed by the

learned Administrative Tribunal. In the absence of the petitioner demonstrating any manifest injustice resulting out of the impugned order, no interference is called for. The petition is dismissed.

C. V. BHADANG, J.

ap/-