IN THE HIGH COURT OF BOMBAY AT GOA

MISC.CIVIL APPLICATION NO. 754 OF 2019
IN
WRIT PETITION NO. 1023 OF 2015

RAMAKANTA V. S. VELINGKAR (DECEASED) THROUGH HIS REPRESENTATIVE, DATTARAJ VELINGKAR., ... Applicant

Versus

ASSISTANT COMMISSIONER OF INCOME-TAX, CIRCLE-2, PANAJI AND 2 ORS., ... Respondents

Mr. Abhijit Pramod Gosavi, Advocate for the applicant.

Ms. Amira Razaq, Standing Counsel for the Respondent.

Coram:- M. S. SONAK &
NUTAN D. SARDESSAI, JJ.

Date:- 14th August, 2019

P.C.:

Heard Mr. Abhijit Gosavi, learned Counsel for the applicant. Ms. A. Razaq, learned Standing Counsel for the Income tax Department.

2. Mr. Gosavi points out that by common judgment and order dated 09.07.2019, several writ petitions including Writ Petition No.141/2015 and Writ Petition No.1023/2015 came to be disposed of. He points out that in the cause title, there is clear

reference to Writ Petition No.1023/2015. However, in the operative part at para 29, wherein Rule is made absolute, there is omission to refer to Writ Petition No.1023/2015. He submits that from the context, it is very clear that even in Writ Petition No.1023/2015, Rule was made absolute.

3. Upon perusing the material on record, it thus appear that there was inadvertent omission as pointed out by Mr.Gosavi.

4. Accordingly, in para 29 in the order dated 09.07.2019, in the third line from the foot, we direct the insertion of reference to Writ Petition No. 1023/2015 after the reference to "1104/2017".

5. This correction be carried out in the original order as well as the order uploaded on the website.

6. The application for speaking to the minutes is disposed of on the aforesaid terms.

NUTAN D. SARDESSAI, J. M. S. SONAK, J.

MF/-