

IN THE HIGH COURT OF BOMBAY AT GOA

CIVIL APPLICATION NO. 98 OF 2019

IN

FIRST APPEAL NO. 62 OF 2019

KUNJ BEHARI GUPTA AND ANR.,

... Applicants

Versus

THEODORA VERONICA @ THEODORA
VERONICA PINTO AND 15 ORS.,

... Respondents

Mr. Venkatesh Ameya Nayak Salatry, Advocate for the
Applicants.

Mr. V. Ajay Kumar, Advocate for Respondent No.12.

Mr. P. Arolkar, Advocate for Respondent No.13.

Coram:- M. S. SONAK &
NUTAN D. SARDESSAI, JJ.

Date:- 16th July 2019

P.C.:

Heard Mr. V.A. Nayak Salatry, the learned Counsel for the appellants, Mr. V. Ajay Kumar, the learned Counsel for respondent no.12 and Mr. P. Arolkar, the learned Counsel for respondent No.13.

2. Though we have admitted the appeal, there is really no case made out for grant of any interim relief. In the first place, there was no interim relief in operation during the pendency of the suit.

Secondly, any sale or transfer, will be subject to the doctrine of lis pendens.

3. Accordingly, the application seeking interim relief is dismissed.

NUTAN D. SARDESSAI, J.

M. S. SONAK, J.

NH