

IN THE HIGH COURT OF BOMBAY AT GOA

WRIT PETITION NO. 246 OF 2018

BELINDA DIAS., ... Petitioner

Versus

MARLA AUGUSTA., ... Respondent

Adv. Rohit Bras De Sa for the Petitioner.

Adv. R. J. Pinto for Respondent nos.1 & 1(a)

Coram:- C. V. BHADANG, J.

Date:- 13th February 2019

Oral Order:

By this petition, the petitioner, who is the original applicant before the Rent Controller is challenging the order dated 5/12/2017 thereby allowing an application for amendment of the written statement filed by the respondent.

2. I have heard the learned counsel for the parties. Apparently, the amendment is based on a subsequent event, namely, the parties entering into consent terms on 24/2/2015 . After having gone through the impugned order, I do not find that it needs interference. The petition is accordingly dismissed with no order as to costs. However, as the amendment was allowed after the

closure of the evidence of the petitioner, the petitioner shall be entitled to seek recall the applicant, if so advised.

C. V. BHADANG, J.

ap/-