

Santosh

IN THE HIGH COURT OF BOMBAY AT GOA
WRIT PETITION NO.559 OF 2019

Lawrence D'Souza and another.		Petitioners.
Versus		
Mamlatdar, Office of Mamlatdar		
Mapusa and another.		Respondents.

Mr. Ganesh R. Naik, Advocate for the Petitioners.

Mr. D. J. Pangam, Advocate General with Mr. Tukaram Gawas,
Additional Govt. Advocate for Respondent No.1.

Coram : M.S. Sonak &
Nutan D. Sardesai, JJ.

Date : 23rd July, 2019.

P.C.:-

Heard Mr. G. Naik, learned Counsel for the Petitioners and
Mr. D.J. Pangam, learned Advocate General for Respondent No.1.

2. Though no notice is directed to Respondent No.2, this
Petition can be disposed of on the basis of a statement made by Mr.
D.J. Pangam, learned Advocate General that the Mamlatdar of
Bardez, Mapusa, i.e. Respondent No.1 herein will dispose of
Mundkar Case No. 9/2012 pending before him within a period of six
months from today. The statement is accepted and this Petition is
disposed of.

3. We make it clear that we have not adverted to the merits of the matter between the Petitioners and Respondent No.2 and, therefore, it is for the Mamlatdar to dispose of the case on its own merits and in accordance with law, after affording proper opportunity of hearing to both the parties

4. All concerned to act on the basis of an authenticated copy of this order.

Nutan D. Sardesai, J.

M.S. Sonak, J.